

modified in any way or not stored under recommended conditions.

12.5. Failure to detect Influenza viruses may be a result of factors such as collection of specimen at an inappropriate time of the disease, improper sampling and/or handling of specimen, failure of cell culture etc. A negative result does not exclude the possibility of Influenza virus infection.

12.6. The IMAGEN Influenza virus A and B test detects type specific Influenza A and B antigens. It cannot be used for identification of subtypes of Influenza A and B.

12.7. The presence of Influenza virus in nasopharyngeal secretions does not necessarily exclude the possibility of concomitant infection with other pathogens. Test results should be interpreted in conjunction with information available from epidemiological studies, clinical diagnosis of the patient and other diagnostic procedures.

12.8. Non-specific staining is sometimes observed as an artifact in immuno-chemical test due to binding between antibody Fc regions and protein A antigen found in the cell wall of some strains of *Staphylococcus aureus*⁹. The IMAGEN Influenza virus A and B test reagent has been modified so that it does not bind to the protein A of Cowan 1 strain of *Staphylococcus aureus*.

12.9. Test results should be interpreted in conjunction with information available from epidemiological studies, clinical assessment of the patient and other diagnostic procedures.

12.10. Individuals who have received nasally administered influenza A vaccine may have positive test results for up to three days after vaccination.

13. EXPECTED VALUES

In temperate zones influenza outbreaks caused by either type A or type B take place mainly in late Autumn to early Spring, but in tropical areas the season of prevalence is less well defined.

In general, the infection rates for Influenza A virus in non-immunised children and adults are similar, with the clinical manifestations of infection showing an inverse correlation with age^{10,11,12}. During Influenza B virus epidemics the highest attack rates are usually reported amongst school age children^{13,14}. During the course of a winter when the prevalent influenza virus is one which has been in circulation for some years and therefore when a large proportion of the population are immune, Influenza viruses can be found to account for approximately 15% of all respiratory infections. When a new antigenic strain of influenza virus has been introduced into the community, and a large proportion of those exposed have no immunity, that strain of influenza virus may cause up to 50% of all respiratory infections. In a recognised defined outbreak the detection rate can approach 100% if both serology and antigen detection methods are used for diagnostic purposes¹⁵.

14. SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS

14.1. REACTIVITY OF THE MONOCLONAL ANTIBODIES

The monoclonal antibodies utilised in this test have been shown to be type specific by immunoassay. The Influenza A virus antibodies will detect H₁N₁, H₂N₂, H₃N₂ Influenza A virus strains, and the Influenza B virus antibodies will detect various Influenza B viruses collected between 1940 and 1984^{5,16,17}.

14.2. CLINICAL STUDIES

The IMAGEN Influenza virus A and B test was evaluated for

direct use at 2 clinical trial centres on nasopharyngeal secretions and sputa collected from children and adults hospitalised with symptoms of respiratory infection. The test was also evaluated at 5 trial centres on cell culture of stock strains of virus to confirm the presence of Influenza viruses. These studies were carried out in the USA, Europe and the Far East.

The trial centres performed direct tests on 213 clinical specimens and on 227 specimens for confirmation of cell culture. Strains detected by the monoclonal antibodies in the IMAGEN Influenza virus A and B test included 22 different strains of Influenza virus A and 20 different strains of Influenza virus B. The standard (reference) methods used were an indirect immunofluorescence test performed directly on specimens and virus culture in baboon kidney cells, MDCK cells or embryonated hens' eggs. Positive virus cultures were confirmed by indirect immunofluorescence using either monoclonal or polyclonal antibodies, or haemagglutination inhibition (HAI).

14.3. CLINICAL PERFORMANCE

14.3.1 Direct specimens

Clinical specimens were collected mainly during the winters of 1984-1987 and the trial centres compared the IMAGEN Influenza virus A and B test with standard methods. Both fresh clinical specimens and previously frozen specimens were used for these evaluations.

A result by the reference method was considered positive if either the cell culture or indirect immunofluorescence on direct specimen was positive. This allowed for the presence of non-viable virus to be detected by fluorescence or for cell-free virus to be detected by cell culture.

Table 14.3.1 shows the results obtained with the IMAGEN

Influenza virus A reagent. The overall incidence of Influenza in these populations was 24.9%. The IMAGEN Influenza A results correlated with the standard tests in 211 cases (99.1%). Test sensitivity was 96.2% (51/53) and specificity 100% (160/160), assuming that the standard tests were 100% sensitive and specific. The predictive values for positive and negative results were 100% (51/51) and 98.8% (160/162) respectively.

Sensitivity, specificity and predictive values were calculated as previously described¹⁸.

Table 14.3.2 shows the results with the IMAGEN Influenza virus B reagent. The overall incidence of Influenza B in these populations was 7.0%. This reflects the low prevalence of Influenza B in Europe during the clinical trials. The IMAGEN Influenza B results correlated with the standard tests in 210 cases (98.6%). Test sensitivity was 86.7% (13/15) and specificity 99.5% (197/198). The predictive values for positive and negative results were 92.9% (13/14) and 98.9% (197/199) respectively.

Table 14.3.1 Comparison of test results of the IMAGEN

Influenza virus A reagent used directly on clinical specimens with the standard tests

TEST RESULTS					
Standard Method	Neg	Pos	Pos	Neg	Neg
IMAGEN Influenza virus A	Neg	Pos	Neg	Pos	Pos
Centre 1	59	35	1	0	0
Centre 2	101	16	1	0	0
TOTAL No. of Specimens (213)	160	51	2	0	0

Table 14.3.2 Comparison of test results of the IMAGEN Influenza virus B reagent used directly on clinical specimens with the standard tests

TEST RESULTS					
Standard Method	Neg	Pos	Pos	Neg	Neg
IMAGEN Influenza virus B	Neg	Pos	Neg	Pos	Pos
Centre 1	81	12	1	1	1
Centre 2	116	1	1	0	0
TOTAL No. of Specimens (213)	197	13	0	1	1

14.3.2 Culture confirmation

Five trial centres tested the IMAGEN Influenza virus A and B test on clinical isolates and stock strains isolated in cell culture. Virus isolation was performed using either primary or secondary baboon monkey kidney cells, or in Madin-Darby canine kidney cells (MDCK). Cell cultures were washed in PBS prior to being spotted on to slides (see Section 9.2). The slides were fixed in acetone and then tested by the IMAGEN Influenza virus A and B reagents. Both fresh clinical isolates and previously frozen specimens were used for this evaluation.

A total of 227 cultures were evaluated which included 54

cultures positive for Influenza virus A and 30 cultures positive for Influenza virus B. Cell culture isolates were confirmed by either immunofluorescence or haemagglutination inhibition (HAI).

The results (Tables 14.3.3 and 14.3.4) indicate that the Influenza virus A reagent detected all Influenza A viruses isolated (sensitivity 100%) and the Influenza virus B reagent detected all Influenza B viruses isolated (sensitivity 100%).

The specificity of both reagents was 100%.

Table 14.3.3 Comparison of test results of the IMAGEN Influenza virus A reagent for culture confirmation with the standard tests

TEST RESULTS					
Standard Method	Neg	Pos	Pos	Neg	Neg
IMAGEN Influenza virus A	Neg	Pos	Neg	Pos	Pos
Centre 1	59	13	0	0	0
Centre 2	27	1	0	0	0
Centre 3	43	13	0	0	0
Centre 4	23	22	0	0	0
Centre 5	21	5	0	0	0
TOTAL No. of Specimens (227)	173	54	0	0	0

Table 14.3.4 Comparison of test results of the IMAGEN

Influenza virus B reagent for culture confirmation with the standard tests

TEST RESULTS					
Standard Method	Neg	Pos	Pos	Neg	Neg
IMAGEN Influenza virus B	Neg	Pos	Neg	Pos	Pos
Centre 1	69	3	0	0	0
Centre 2	25	3	0	0	0
Centre 3	54	2	0	0	0
Centre 4	27	18	0	0	0
Centre 5	22	4	0	0	0
TOTAL No. of Specimens (227)	197	30	0	0	0

14.4. CROSS REACTIVITY

The IMAGEN Influenza virus A and B test was performed against preparations of other viruses and organisms likely to be present in respiratory secretions or cell cultures. All organisms tested (Table 14.4) were negative with both IMAGEN Influenza virus A and B reagents.

Table 14.4 Organisms tested in the IMAGEN Influenza virus A and B Test and found to be non reactive

<i>Acholeplasma laidlawii</i>	<i>Mycoplasma pneumoniae</i>
<i>Adenovirus types 1 5 & 7</i>	<i>Mycoplasma salivarium</i>
<i>Bordetella parapertussis</i>	<i>Mycoplasma orale</i>
<i>Bordetella pertussis</i>	<i>Mycoplasma hominis</i>
<i>Branhamella catarrhalis</i>	<i>Mycoplasma arginini</i>
<i>Candida albicans</i>	<i>Mycoplasma hyorhinus</i>
<i>Chlamydia psittaci</i>	<i>Neisseria meningitidis A</i>
<i>Chlamydia trachomatis</i>	<i>Neisseria meningitidis B</i>
<i>Coxsackie virus types A9 & B4</i>	<i>Neisseria lactamica</i>
<i>Cytomegalovirus</i>	<i>Neisseria perflava</i>
<i>Echovirus types 3, 6, 9, 11 & 22</i>	<i>Neisseria cinerarea</i>
<i>Epstein-Barr virus</i>	<i>Parainfluenza virus types 1, 2 & 3</i>
<i>Foamy virus</i>	<i>Pneumocystis carinii</i>
<i>Herpes simplex virus types 1 & 2</i>	<i>Polio virus types 1 and 2</i>
<i>Legionella pneumophila</i>	<i>Respiratory syncytial virus</i>
<i>Measles virus</i>	<i>Rhinovirus</i>
<i>Mumps virus</i>	<i>Simian virus types 5 and 40</i>
<i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i>	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>
<i>Mycobacterium avium</i>	<i>Streptococcus gps A,B,C,D F G</i>
<i>Mycobacterium intracellulare</i>	<i>Varicella zoster virus</i>

15. REFERENCES

- Frankl, R.I.B., Fauquet, C.M., Knudson, D.L., and Brown, F. (1992) Classification and Nomenclature of Viruses. Fifth Report of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses. Archives of Virology Supplement 2, Spurger Velacy, New York, pp 263-270.
- Webster, R.G., Bean, W.J., Gorman, O.T., Chambers, T.M., and Kawaoka, Y. (1992) Evolution and Ecology of Influenza A viruses. *Microbiological Reviews*. 56: No 1, 152-179.
- Potter, C.W. (1990) Influenza. In Principles and Practice for Clinical Virology (eds A.J. Zuckerman et al). John Wiley and Sons Ltd, Chichester, pp 213-238.
- Murphy, B.R., and Webster, R.G. (1990) Orthomyxoviruses in Virology (eds B.N. Fields and D.M. Kripe) Raven Press, New York, pp 1091-1152.
- Galbraith, A.W. (1980) Influenza - Recent developments in prophylaxis and treatment. *British Medical Bulletin*. 41: 381-385.
- McQuillan, J., Madeley, C.R., and Kendal (1985) Monoclonal antibodies for the rapid diagnosis of Influenza A and B virus infections by immunofluorescence. *Lancet*. 11: 911-914
- Gardner, P.S., and McQuillin, J. (1980) Rapid virus diagnosis: Application of immunofluorescence (2nd Ed) Butterworth, London, pp 92-123.
- McIntosh, K., Masters, H.B., Orr, I., Chao, R.K., and Barkin, R.M.

(1978)

The immunologic response to infection with respiratory syncytial virus in infants.

Journal of Infectious Diseases. 138: 24-32.

- Krech T., Gerhard Fsadni D., Hofmann N., Miller S.M. (1985) Interference of *Staphylococcus aureus* in the detection of *Chlamydia trachomatis* by monoclonal antibodies.

The Lancet 1: 1161-1162.

- Hall C.E., Cooney M.K., Fox J.P. (1973)

The Seattle virus watch. IV. Comparative epidemiologic observations of infections with influenza A and B viruses. 1965-1969, in families with young children.

American Journal of Epidemiology 98: 365-380.

- Monto A.S., Kioumeh F. (1975)

The Tecumseh study of respiratory illness IX. Occurrence of influenza in the community, 1966-1971

American Journal of Epidemiology 102: 553-563.

- Foy H.M., Cooney M.K., Allan I. (1976)

Longitudinal studies of types A and B influenza among Seattle schoolchildren and families, 1968-1974.

Journal of Infectious Diseases 134: 362-369.

- Chin D.Y., Mosley W.H., Poland J.D., Rush D., Belden E.A., Johnson O. (1963)

Epidemiologic Studies of type B influenza in 1961-1962.

American Journal of Public Health 53: 1068-1074.

- Retalliau H.F., Storch G.A., Curtis A.C., Horne T.J., Scally M.J., Mettewick M.A.W. (1979)

The epidemiology of influenza B in a rural setting in 1977.

American Journal of Epidemiology 109: 639-649.

- Caul E.O. (1986)

Personal communication (on file at Oxoid (Ely) Ltd).

- Walls H.H., Harmon M.W., Slagle J.J., Stocksdale C., Kendal A.P. (1986)

Characterisation and evaluation of monoclonal antibodies developed for typing influenza A and influenza B viruses.

Journal of Clinical Microbiology 23: 240-245.

- Espy M.J., Smith T.F., Harmon M.W., Kendal A.P. (1986)

Rapid detection of influenza virus by shell vial assay with monoclonal antibodies.

Journal of Clinical Microbiology 24: 677-679.

- Galen R.S. (1982)

Application of the predictive value model in the analysis of test effectiveness in Clinics in Laboratory Medicine Symposium on Test Selection Strategies. Volume 2. WB Saunders Company: 685-699.



IFU X7850A Revised November 2013



OXOID Limited, Wade Road, Basingstoke, Hampshire, RG24 8PW, UK

For all enquiries please contact your local distributor.